# Climatic constraints on Cambrian palaeogeography





Thomas W. Wong Hearing<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Alexandre Pohl<sup>4,5</sup>, Mark Williams<sup>2</sup>, Thomas H.P. Harvey<sup>2</sup>, Yannick Donnadieu<sup>6</sup>, Thijs Vandenbroucke<sup>1</sup>

#### **<u>1. Rationale</u>: Divergent Cambrian palaeocontinental configurations**

There are **substantial differences** between recently published **Cambrian continental configurations**. The biggest discrepancies are around **Gondwana**, and which region, if any (see Map B), resided over the **South Pole**. The discrepancies arise from the **different methods** used to reconstruct each configuration.

**Map A** after BugPlates<sup>1</sup> and Torsvik & Cocks<sup>2,3</sup>, using palaeomagnetic and brachiopod biogeographic data. **Map B** after Landing *et al.*<sup>4,5</sup>, using lithological data. **Map C** after Scotese<sup>6</sup>, using palaeomagnetic, lithological, and biogeographic data. **Map D** after Álvaro *et al.*<sup>7</sup>, adapting Map A using trilobite biogeographic data. **Continents**: A = Avalonia; B = Baltica; EG = East Gondwana; L = Laurentia; NC = North China; S = Siberia; SC = South China; WG = West Gondwana.



# 2. Methods: Lithologies

Terreneuvian to Cambrian Series 2 climatically sensitive lithology deposits were located on each map.



### Models

Simulations were run on the Fast Ocean Atmosphere Model (FOAM)<sup>8</sup> for 3 pCO<sub>2</sub> (16, 32, 64 PAL) and 5 orbital conditions.



## **Climate zones**

Model outputs were converted to Köppen climate zones<sup>9</sup>. For each map, a weighted score was calculated<sup>10</sup> that represents the agreement between the lithological database and simulated climate zones.



#### **<u>3. Results</u>: Data/Model agreement**

Palaeogeography exerts a greater control on data/model agreement than orbital forcing or atmospheric carbon dioxide levels. The continental configurations of maps B and D better explain the climate zones that are supported by lower Cambrian lithological data. Coupling quantitative climate models with qualitative geological palaeoclimate data is a valuable method for better constraining the geographic and climatic context of the origin and rise of complex life.



#### thomas.wonghearing@ugent.be

<sup>1</sup>Geology Department, Ghent University, Belgium. <sup>2</sup>School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Leicester, UK. <sup>3</sup>British Geological Survey, UK. <sup>4</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University of California, Riverside, USA. <sup>5</sup>Biogéosciences UMR 6282, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, CNRS, France. <sup>6</sup>Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, CEREGE, France. <u>References</u>: <sup>1</sup>BugPlates, 2009. <sup>2</sup>Torsvik & Cocks, 2013, *in* Geol. Soc.
Mem. 38. <sup>3</sup>Torsvik & Cocks, 2016, *Earth History and Palaeogeography*.
<sup>4</sup>Landing *et al.*, 2013a, *ESR*. <sup>5</sup>Landing *et al.*, 2013b, *Geol. Mag*.
<sup>6</sup>Scotese, 2016, *Palaeomap Project*. <sup>7</sup>Álvaro *et al.*, 2013, *in* Geol. Soc.
Spec. Pub. 275. <sup>8</sup>Jacob, 1997, *PhD Thesis*. <sup>9</sup>Rubel & Kottek, 2011, *Meteoro. Zeitschrift*. <sup>10</sup>Monteiro *et al.*, 2012, *Paleoceanography*.